

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant again wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that claims 13-18 and 29 have been allowed.

Claims 4-6, 8, 10-12, 20-22, 24-26, 35-37, 39, 41-48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,990,902 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,067,071. Applicant respectfully reasserts the relevant remarks made in the previous response. In addition, claims have been amended to include inherent limitations indicating that the multiple displays display at least a portion of the drawing surface at the same time. Such a method and system that substitutes selected display capabilities and providing the substituted display capabilities to an operating system for multiple display of at least a portion of a drawing surface is not described in the cited references. Accordingly, the claims are in condition for allowance.

In addition, the Advisory Action apparently rejected the claims stating that these claims are anticipated by the Abstract of the Zenda reference. However, as noted in the previous response hereby incorporated by reference, the Zenda reference does not appear to teach or suggest, among other things, a method for supporting multiple displays per drawing surface. For example, Zenda is directed to a single display system. A multiple display system requires multiple displays to display a single drawing surface for example on a plurality of displays at the same time. Applicant's multiple display per drawing surface claims are therefore not taught or suggested by the cited reference or references. In addition, new claim 49 requires that the selected display capabilities that are used exceed those of both the first and second display. Such a system also is not taught or suggested by the cited references.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the below-listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 24, 2004

By: Christopher J. Reckamp
Christopher J. Reckamp
Registration No. 34,414

Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.
222 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 609-7599
FAX: (312) 609-5005